CT image interpretation form

PATIENT ID:

| DATE OF READING: | | | | DATE OF SCAN: | | |
|--|-----------|----------|------|---------------|--------|--|
| SCAN QUALITY: | Good | Moderate | Poor | Comment: | | |
| READER ID: | | | | | | |
| TYPE OF SCAN: (tick all that apply) | CT Plain: | | CTP: | | CTA: | |
| TYPE OF PERFUSION AVAILABLE: | MTT: | | CBV: | | TMAX: | |
| | CBF: | | TTP: | | Other: | |

Please tick Yes or No. Please do not leave blanks. Thank you.

white matter).

| 1. | Are | all the scan sequences completely normal? | Y | N | If YES stop here |
|----|---------------------------|--|---|----------|----------------------|
| 2. | Is the any old, | haemic Changes here any sign of acute ischaemic change on v sequence? If in doubt as to whether acute or , code as acute. | Y | N | If No go to Q.7 |
| 3. | Wh | ich side of the brain shows ischaemic change? | R | L | Tick R and L if both |
| 4. | Cla lesi exa | ssify signs of ischaemic change in the main ons (if more than one recent lesion). (see amples) | Y | N | N/A |
| | a) | Loss of grey/white matter cortex definition. | | | |
| | b) | Loss of basal ganglia outline. | | | |
| | c) | Hypodensity present (i.e. more than in a or b so that the lesion appears less dense than | | | |

| Per | fusion-angi | ography s | study CT R | Reading form | | |
|---|-------------|---------------|------------|---|------------|---------|
| d) PWI lesion visible. (tick one box for each row that applies). The 20% refers to volume. | CBFr | î or ↓ | N | <20% <ct< td=""><td>Same as CT</td><td>>20%>CT</td></ct<> | Same as CT | >20%>CT |
| | CBVr | | | | | |
| | MTTr | | | | | |
| | TTPr | | | | | |
| | ATFr | | | | | |
| | FWHMr | | | | | |
| | PTFr | | | | | |
| | Cmaxr | | | | | |
| | CBFq | | | | | |
| | CBVq | | | | | |
| | MTTq | | | | | |
| | Tmaxq | | | | | |
| | Raw data | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |

Note this form is based on an adaptation of the scan reading for developed by and copyright © J Wardlaw, 2 University of Edinburgh, for IST-3 and related projects.

Perfusion-angiography study CT Reading form

5. Classify site and size of ischaemic lesion on plain CT (see examples)

a) site (enter most appropriate code in box)

- M =MCA* = any lesion in the MCA territory
- AS =Infarct of up to half of ACA territory
- AL =Infarct of more than half of ACA territory
- PS =Infarct of up to half of PCA territory
- PL =Infarct of more than half of PCA territory MAS=M+AS*
- MAL=M+AL*
- MPS=M+PS*
- MPL=M+PL*

MAP=Infarct of whole MCA, ACA and PCA territories

- L =Lacune*
- B =Borderzone*
- C =Cerebellum*
- S =Brainstem*
- CS =Cerebellum and brainstem

* code sub-territory sites in b

b) sub-territory sites

MCA sub-territory codes

1=small cortical infarct 2=basal ganglia infarct (>2x2x2cm) 3= infarct of white matter lateral to the lateral ventricle (>2x2x2cm) 4=infarct of anterior half of peripheral MCA territory– a=not involving and b=involving part of basal ganglia 5=infarct of the posterior half of peripheral MCA territory – a= not involving and b=involving part of basal ganglia 6=infarct of the whole of peripheral MCA territory 7=6+infarct of lateral part of basal ganglia 8=infarct of whole of MCA territory

Lacunar/Borderzone sub-territory codes

- 9=lacune in internal capsule/lentiform
- 10=lacune in internal border zone
- 11=lacune in centrum semiovale
- 12=lacune in thalamus
- 13=lacune in brainstem, inc. pons (not shown)
- 14=anterior (mainly) border zone 15=posterior (mainly) border zone

Cerebellum sub-territory codes 16=small cortical (not shown) 17=<1/2 hemisphere (medium) (not shown) 18=>1/2 hemisphere (not shown)

Brainstem sub-territory codes

11=small, i.e.<1/2 medulla (not shown) 12=extensive, i.e. pons + medulla (not shown)

c) degree of mass effect on plain CT

Mass effect grading 0=no swelling 1=effacement of the sulci overlying the infarct 2=1+minor effacement of adjacent lateral ventricle 3=1+complete effacement of lateral ventricle 4=1+effacement of the lateral and third ventricle 5=4+shift of the midline away from the side of the ventricle 6=5+effacement of the basal cisterns

6. ASPECT Score lesion:



2°

1°







Note this form is based on an adaptation of the scan reading for developed by and copyright © J Wardlaw, 3 University of Edinburgh, for IST-3 and related projects.

Perfusion-angiography study CT Reading form

Please enter 'U' for unscoreable areas*, '0' for normal areas, '1' for ↓flow areas, '2' for ↑ flow areas

| | Plain CT | | CBFr | CBVr | MTTr | TTPr |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| | Swelling | Hypoattenuation | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | |
| Caudate (C) | | | | | | |
| Lentiform (L) | | | | | | |
| Insula (I) | | | | | | |
| Internal Capsule (IC) | | | | | | |
| MCA1 (M1) | | | | | | |
| MCA2 (M2) | | | | | | |
| MCA3 (M3) | | | | | | |
| MCA4 (M4) | | | | | | |
| MCA5 (M5) | | | | | | |
| MCA6 (M6) | | | | | | |
| A | | | | | | |
| Р | | | | | | |

*'unscoreable' = areas not included on CTP

Diagrams and score taken from Lancet 2000;355:1670-1674



6 continued – additional PWI parameter scores

Note this form is based on an adaptation of the scan reading for developed by and copyright © J Wardlaw, 4 University of Edinburgh, for IST-3 and related projects.

6. ASPECT Score lesion:

| | ATFr | FWHMr | PTFr | Cmaxr | CBFq | CBVq | MTTq | Tmaxq | Raw data |
|--------------------------|------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------------|
| N/A | | | | | | | | | |
| Caudate (C) | | | | | | | | | |
| Lentiform (L) | | | | | | | | | |
| Insula (I) | | | | | | | | | |
| Internal Capsule (IC) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA1 (M1) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA2 (M2) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA3 (M3) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA4 (M4) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA5 (M5) | | | | | | | | | |
| MCA6 (M6) | | | | | | | | | |
| А | | | | | | | | | |
| Ρ | | | | | | | | | |

Please enter 'U' for unscoreable areas*, '0' for normal areas, '1' for ↓flow areas, '2' for ↑ flow areas

*'unscoreable' = areas not included on CTP Diagrams and score taken from Lancet 2000;355:1670-1674



7. CT hyperattenuated/Abnormal Vessel Sign

Note this form is based on an adaptation of the scan reading for developed by and copyright © J Wardlaw, 5 University of Edinburgh, for IST-3 and related projects.



1

2a

2b

3

Grade

0

Minimal flow/patency

Complete flow/patency

No patency

Criteria on arteriography

Partial flow/patency of <50% of expected territory

Partial flow/patency of >50% of expected territory

artery Minimal patency - some contrast penetrates 1 obstruction but no/minimal enters distal artery Patency of <50% of the lumen and some filling of 2 branches of the affected artery Patency of >50% of the lumen and filling of most 3 branches of the affected artery 4 Complete patency – normal artery From a total score for normal CBS=10 c) Clot Burden Score arteries of 10, two points are subtracted for thrombus found on AJNR 2009:30:525-31 CTA in the supraclinoid ICA and (Fig 1) each of the proximal and distal halves of the MCA trunk. One point is subtracted for thrombus found in the infraclinoid ICA and A1 segment and for each affected M2 branch. In occluded ICA/MCA ONLY: A 'Good' = the entire MCA distal to the occluded segment reconstituted with d) Score for Collateral contrast. Status B "Moderate" = if some of the MCA branches reconstituted within the Sylvian fissure. Brain 2009;132:2231-2238 C "Poor" = if only the distal superficial (Fig 2) MCA branches reconstituted with contrast.

Figures in 8 c and d were extracted from the respective citations.

TIMI: NEJM 1985;312:932-6

TICI: Stroke 203;34:e109 Reviewed in Stroke

b) Score for abnormal

2005:36:2400-3

Perfusion-angiography study CT Reading form

| 9. | Haemorrhagic Changes * | - | | | |
|-----|---|---|---|---|--|
| | Is there any haemorrhage anywhere? | Y | N | If No go to Q.11 | |
| 10. | Classify haemorrhage (if more than one haemorrhage, tick all present – indicate order of significance): | | | Order (insert 1 (most important), 2, 3 (least important) to indicate your estimate of the order of clinical importance) | Size of Haematoma (tick box for max diam.): |
| | | Y | N | | <3cm 3-5cm 5-8cm >8cm |
| | a) petechial haemorrhage (example 1 or 2 below) | | | | |
| | b) significant haemorrhagic transformation of infarct (i.e. underlying infarct still visible) (example 3 below) | | | | |
| | c) parenchymal haematoma (i.e. no infarct visible) | | | | |
| | d) parenchymal haematoma clearly | | | | |
| | remote from infarct | | | | |
| | e) subdural haematoma | | | | |
| | f) subarachnoid haemorrhageg) extradural haemorrhage | | | | |
| | i) In your opinion, is the haemorrhage a major component of the infarct which is likely to have worsened mass effect or involved more brain in the damage present and so worsened symptoms, or if remote from the infarct, likely to have contributed significantly to the burden of brain damage? | | | the anatom no or only mass efferences | ma with slight ct |

| | Perfusion-angiography study CT Reading form | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------|-----|------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| 11. | Reduction in brain tissue volume | v | N | | | | | | |
| | Is there any reduction in brain tissue volume? | T | | If No go to Q.13 | | | | | |
| 12. | Classify atrophy (see examples and pick nearest likeness): | | | | | | | | |
| | Central | None | Mod | Severe | | | | | |
| | CENTRAL reduction in brain tissue volume None Modest Severe | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cortical | None | Mod | Severe | | | | | |
| | CORTICAL reduction in brain tissue | | | | | | | | |
| | None | | Mod | est | Severe | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |





Approach validated in Eur Radiol 2008;19:177-183



(diagram from van Swieten et al. JNNP 1990;53:1080-1083)





AWM = 2 PWM = 1



Note this form is based on an adaptation of the scan reading for developed by and copyright © J Wardlaw, 9 University of Edinburgh, for IST-3 and related projects.

Perfusion-angiography study CT Reading form **OLD VASCULAR LESIONS** γ Ν 15. Are there any old vascular lesions? If No go to Q.17 16. Classify old vascular lesion(s): a) old cortical infarct(s) b) old striatocapsular infarct(s) c) old borderzone infarct(s) d) old lacunar infarct(s) e) old brainstem/cerebellar infarct(s) f) probable old haemorrhage **NON-STROKE LESIONS** Υ Ν 17. Is there a non-stroke lesion, which could have If No go to Q.19 accounted for the patient's stroke syndrome? 18. Classify non-stroke lesion: a) cerebral tumour b) encephalitis c) cerebral abscess d) other (e.g. contusion) **Specify Other:**

19. COMMENT: